G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909.

S + a

(14)

File No. 374-09.

Serial Nos. 12-14.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1910

SUBJECT.

Declines to interfere with the pension enjoyed by Timgamma wife of Narasimhaswami Naik of the Manjarabad family on the allegations of the petitioner. contains

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
S+a 374-09-883-7, 10-11 S+a 201-06-8856-7 April 10 S+a 201-06-881-4-13 Febry	S+A 158-10-88 (379) S+A 42-31 SS (182)

G.R.S. 11] 1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIA'

(SA.

NOTES.

File No. 374/09

File Heading.

Manjarabad Pallepar Family Pension

From

The Officer in charge, State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.

No. 12 Dated 9-8-10 Received 11-8-10

Diary No. 650 | Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies | Serial No. 12

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Forward for orders, the petition of Narasimhaswami Naik of the Manjarabad Family complaining against the conduct of his wife Cheriamma.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

U, X, T. The previous files on the subject are put up.

" The Huzur Treasury Officer, in his letter stated, that his Ds Cheriamma had failed to respond to the notice, within the time specified, he has ordered that the family pension of Rs 2/- due to her be held in 'amanat'

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

annaial pending further orders
Under the rules of the Civil Account
Code, the Treasury officer has no authority
and not authorized to stop payment of
her pension without the sanction of Govt
or appeal. Chinnamma is not in
receipt of her pension for the last six months
Submitted for orders

Rs 10 p.m. is somewhat surprising to
see the petitioner Narsimhalu naidu
stating that his wife has run away from
him as if this had happened quite
recently. She seems to have left
his protection so long ago as 1905
alleging insufferable torture and
cruelty at the hands of this "most
aggrieved husband" and his mother
and the govt are perfectly aware of
this. So it is not understood what
the husband means by bring-
ing the matter to the notice of govt
now. Perhaps there was a recent
reconciliation followed again by a quick
separation. But govt knowing as they
do the circumstances under which the
husband and wife has parted, cannot

GPB—55,000—27-4-06

Serial No.	
	force the husband on the wife. However
1	It was understood at the time, a Separate
	pension was granted to Rangamma,
	that she had sought the asylum of
	her father's house. If however, she
	is not there now and leading an ob-
	jectionable life, the pension cannot ob-
	viously be continued to her.
3	It is stated that Rangamma
	has not presented herself before
	the Huzur Treasury officer in response
	to his notice. But it does not appear
	to be fair to expect a poor woman
	residing in such an out of the way
	Village, many N. Great
	to promptly respond
	to the call involving considerable ex-
	pense. Perhaps it may be ascertained
	where she now is or was the last time
	she drew her pension.
	mh 24/8/10
4	Mr Bonnick, Recheive, for the Khajana Bakshi
	to take upon himself the Reconciliation of
	estranged Couples. He cannot stop the pension
	payment without orders. If the woman is therein
	unworthy the fact Recheive will have to be
	proved in a Court of Law and the Khajana
	Empluge rights also obtained from a Court of Law.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenu Secretariat.

File No. 374 of 1909

erial No.

Put these facts have no receipt in the determination of the present case. The Khajanabakshi may be asked to send Chengamma her share of the pension ordered & get her receipt & pass the deed to Res[pondent] & a Copy of Notice

Put deed

4/10/10

5. Yes - please write up draft-ings

Ch
16/9/10

Draft submitted

Draft
16/9/10

19-9-10 A petition has since been received from Chengamma, please put it up.

Ch
19/9/10

vide Sl-no 13.

13 From O/C State Prisons Dreading, No 18 d) 10-9-10

Forwards the petition from Mrs: Chengamma wife of the petitioner Narasimhaswami naik -

This is a reply to Prisons Dreading Officer's notice issued to her - She states that she left her husband's home on account of the ill-treatment accorded to her by her husband & her mother-in-law & acknowledges the receipt

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.H File No. 316 of 1909.

Serial No.

of 4b 121 Bhutia Con-

Submitted with a draft

Inv
20-9-10
mk
20/9/10 (unless)

21.9.10

Dewan

51 31
28. 9.

um
20/9/10

Vide draft appd by the Dewan

ame
29/9/10

Issue
CBB
1/11/10

Issue
1.11.10

in Charge of S. H. Treasury

No 1238 Dt 7.11.10

State that Govt do not think it necessary to interfere with the continuance

Sq. No. 314 - K
Sl 12

KBS

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 9th August 1910.

From

Chokkanna Esqr BA,
Khazana Bukshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore

To

S. Balasundram Iyer Esqr BA,
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

No 12
Enclo: One File

Sir,

In forwarding for the information and orders of Government a Petition received from Narsimhaswami Naick of the Manjrabad family Complaining that his wife Chengamma has run away from him and has turned a deaf ear to all entreaties from him including a lawyer's notice to his father-in-law, I have the honor to report that a notice was issued to this lady calling upon her to explain the reason of her keeping away from her

her husband. As she failed to respond to the Notice within the time Specified, I have ordered that the 2/- Rupees pension she was granted out of the Whole family pension be held in ~~Deposit~~ "Remand" pending further orders.

After this the wife of the Darawanda — mother of this Petitioner, and mother-in-law of Mrs Chengamma called upon me and expressed her willingness to receive back her daughter-in-law if she condescended to restore the happiness of her Son's home.

Any continuance of the pension to this refractory lady independent of her husband and his family will only help to widen the gulf now existing between the husband and wife.

I would therefore recommend that the pension independently sanctioned to this lady be withheld pending her return to her husband's roof.

The Whole file in this Office is forwarded for ready reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

J. Shankar
Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury

WM/

Rec'd 11/9

D 1066

STATE HUZUR TREASURY
10 1910
BANGALORE.

52 a 374-09
SL 13

(13)

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 10th September
1910.

From,
T. Chokkanna Esq BA,
Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

No 18
Enc: one.

To,
The S. Balasundram Iyer Esq BA,
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of this office No. 12 dated 9/10th August 1910, forwarding for orders a petition from Narsimhaswami Naik of the Manjirabad Sheregar family together with this office file, I have the honor to enclose herein a petition from Mrs. Chengamma, wife of the petitioner Narsimhaswami Naik, for incorporation

D. 650-

with

ಸಂ. 25 ಮೀಟರ್ 12/9/10

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿ.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್

ఇట్లు
మీ విశ్వాసపాత్రుడు

2-9-10

G.R.S. 28.]

Fair Draw

File No. 374/09

Serial No. 13

1066 24/10

Diary No. of letter replied to 652

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 7 Nov/10

Signed by W L Narasimhaiah

READ—

Letter No 12 dy 9-8-10 from the Officer in charge of the State Bazar Treasury, Bangalore forwarding for orders the petition of Narasimha Naik of Thumparabad Pallefar Family complaining against the Order thereon. ~~No.~~

conduct of his wife Bheemamma and recommending that the pension of Rs 2/- sanctioned to her in G.O No Rl. 6182-3/SRA.201-06 dy 12-2-07 may be withheld pending her return to her husband's house.

over

SUBJECT.

Order thereon: No D 2238 dy 7 Nov 1910

Govt. are aware of the estranged relation subsisting between the members of the petitioner's family. There is no allegation that Cheruvamma, the petitioner's 1st wife is leading an ~~objectionable life~~ Govt. do not think it necessary to interfere with the continuance of the pension on the allegations made by the petr which are denied by the Cheruvamma ~~Cheruvamma~~.

2). It was irregular on the part of the State Hygn Dreadry officer to have stopped the pension without the orders of Govt. He is directed to disburse it to her as heretofore.

Addl: Secretary.

2c.

The officer in charge, State Hygn Dreadry, re turning the file -